-		
_		

9

10

11

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	Eugene P. Ramirez (State Bar No. 134865)
	_eugene.ramirez@manningkass.com
2	Kayleigh Andersen (State Bar No. 306442)
	kayleigh.andersen@manningkass.com
3	MANNING & KASS ELLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP
	ELLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP
4	801 S. Figueroa St, 15th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90017-3012 Telephone: (213) 624-6900 Facsimile: (213) 624-6999
	Los Angeles, California 90017-3012
5	Telephone: (213) 624-6900
	Facsimile: (213) 624-6999
6	
	Attorneys for Defendants, COUNTY OF
7	SAN BERNARDINO and JUSTIN
	LOPEZ
O	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

A.H. and H.H., in each case a minor, by and through their guardian ad litem Crystal Hanson, individually and as successor in interest to Shane Holland, deceased; C.H., a minor, by and through her guardian ad litem, Reymi Updike; individually and as successor in interest to Shane Holland, deceased, and PATRICIA HOLLAND, individually,

Plaintiffs,

V.

COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO; JUSTIN LOPEZ, and DOES 1-10, Inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:23-CV-01028 JGB-SHK

[Honorable Jesus G. Bernal, Magistrate Judge, Shashi H. Kewalramani]

DEFENDANTS' EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' EVIDENCE ISO PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT [DOC. 37]

Date: September 23, 2024

Time: 9:00 a.m. Crtrm.: Courtroom 1

Action Filed: 06/02/2023

TO THIS HONORABLE COURT AND THE PARTIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL OF RECORD:

In accordance with C.D L.R. 7-6, defendants County of San Bernardino ("County") and Deputy Justin Lopez ("Deputy Lopez") (collectively "Defendants") herein Object to Plaintiffs' evidence submitted in support of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 37].

1	EVIDENCE	OBJECTION	Ruling
2	1. Declaration of Roger	Speculative expert testimony.	Sustained/
3	Clark [Doc. 37-2].	(F.R.E. 702); U.S. v. Hermanek, 289	Overruled
4		F.3d 1076, 1094 (9th Cir. 2002)	
		("The trial judge in all cases of	
5		proferred expert testimony must	
6		find that it is properly grounded,	
7		well reasoned, and not speculative before it can be admitted. The	
8		expert must explain how the	
9		conclusion is so grounded."	
		(quoting Fed. R. Evid. 702, comm.	
10		note)).	
11			
12		Improper expert methodology.	
13		(F.R.E. 702, 703); Gen. Elec. Co. v.	
		Joiner, 522 U.S. 136, 146-47 (1997)	
14		(holding courts have discretion to	
15		decide that materials relied upon by	
16		experts are insufficient to support an	
17		expert's conclusions). Roger Clark's declaration does not provide	
		any data or basis for his opinions.	
18		any data of basis for ms opinions.	
19		Improper testimony of an expert	
20		witness as to a legal question.	
21		(F.R.E. 702); Aguilar v. Int'l	
22		Longshoremen's Union Local No.	
		10, 966 F.2d 443, 447 (9th Cir.	
23		1992) ("[E]xpert testimony	
24		consisting of legal conclusions [is]	
25		not admissible." (citing Marx v.	
26		<i>Diners Club, Inc.</i> , 550 F.2d 505, 509 (2d Cir. 1977)). Roger Clark is	
		opining on what is proper to	
27		consider under the relevant	
28		Tonizada dilata di Tono (dila	

constitutional analysis.	
Further, the only source of evidence is conclusory, uncorroborated, and self-serving declaration. <i>Nigro v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.</i> , 784.3d 495, 497 (9th Cir. 2015); <i>Villarimio v Aloha Island Air, Inc.</i> , 281 F.3d 1054, 1061 (9th Cir. 2002); <i>F.T.C. v. Publ'g Clearing House, Inc.</i> , 104 F.3d 1168, 1171 (9th Cir. 1997).	
Hearsay (F.R.E. 802); Relevance, Lack of Foundation and Personal Knowledge (F.R.E. 401, 402).	
Not material as it does not raise a	
triable issue of a material fact. See	
Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 248 (1986) ("as to	
materiality, the substantive law will	
identify which facts are material.	
Only disputes over facts that might	
affect the outcome of the suit under	
the governing law will properly	
preclude the entry of summary	
judgment. Factual disputes that are	
irrelevant or unnecessary will not be	
counted." (Internal citations	
omitted.)	

MANNING & KASS ELLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP

By: /s/ Kayleigh Andersen Eugene P. Ramirez

> Kayleigh Andersen Attorneys for Defendants, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO and JUSTIN LOPEZ